

A Syntactic Approach to the Complexity of Linear Idempotent Mal'cev Conditions

Jonah Horowitz

GAIA 2013

July 18th, 2013

ρ is a majority operation on A if for every $x, y \in A$

$$\rho(x, x, y) = \rho(x, y, x) = \rho(y, x, x) = x.$$

p is a majority operation on A if for every $x, y \in A$

$$p(x, x, y) = p(x, y, x) = p(y, x, x) = x.$$

Theorem (Freese, Valeriote 2009)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite idempotent algebra. Then \mathbf{A} supports a majority term operation if and only if for every $0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \in A$ there are $6, 7, 8 \in A$ such that

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in Cg_{\mathbf{A}^3} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right) \wedge Cg_{\mathbf{A}^3} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in Cg_{\mathbf{A}^3} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right) \wedge Cg_{\mathbf{A}^3} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

ρ is a Mal'cev operation on A if for every $x, y \in A$

$$\rho(x, y, y) = \rho(y, y, x) = x$$

p is a Mal'cev operation on A if for every $x, y \in A$

$$p(x, y, y) = p(y, y, x) = x$$

Theorem (Freese, Valeriote 2009)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite idempotent algebra. Then \mathbf{A} supports a Mal'cev term operation if and only if for every $0, 1, 2, 3 \in A$ it is the case that

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}\right) \in \text{Cg}_{\mathbf{A}^2}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}\right) \circ \text{Cg}_{\mathbf{A}^2}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Corollary (Freese, Valeriote 2009)

Whether or not a finite idempotent algebra possesses a majority term can be determined in polynomial time.

Corollary (Freese, Valeriote 2009)

Whether or not a finite idempotent algebra possesses a Mal'cev term can be determined in polynomial time.

Let p be a Mal'cev operation.

Suppose that p_1 is almost a Mal'cev operation, but for a few $x, y \in A$
 $p_1(y, y, x) \neq x$.

Suppose that p_2 is almost a Mal'cev operation, but for a few $x, y \in A$
 $p_2(x, y, y) \neq x$.

Let p be a Mal'cev operation.

Suppose that p_1 is almost a Mal'cev operation, but for a few $x, y \in A$
 $p_1(y, y, x) \neq x$.

Suppose that p_2 is almost a Mal'cev operation, but for a few $x, y \in A$
 $p_2(x, y, y) \neq x$.

Then we can define

$$p'(x, y, z) := p(p_1(x, y, z), p_1(y, y, z), z)$$

$$p''(x, y, z) := p(x, p_2(x, y, y), p_2(x, y, z))$$

Let p be a Mal'cev operation.

Suppose that p_1 is almost a Mal'cev operation, but for a few $x, y \in A$
 $p_1(y, y, x) \neq x$.

Suppose that p_2 is almost a Mal'cev operation, but for a few $x, y \in A$
 $p_2(x, y, y) \neq x$.

Then we can define

$$p'(x, y, z) := p(p_1(x, y, z), p_1(y, y, z), z)$$

$$p''(x, y, z) := p(x, p_2(x, y, y), p_2(x, y, z))$$

Both p' and p'' are Mal'cev operations.

Let p be a Mal'cev operation.

Suppose that p_1 is almost a Mal'cev operation, but for a few $x, y \in A$
 $p_1(y, y, x) \neq x$.

Suppose that p_2 is almost a Mal'cev operation, but for a few $x, y \in A$
 $p_2(x, y, y) \neq x$.

Then we can define

$$p'(x, y, z) := p(p_1(x, y, z), p_1(y, y, z), z)$$

$$p''(x, y, z) := p(x, p_2(x, y, y), p_2(x, y, z))$$

Both p' and p'' are Mal'cev operations.

So what?

Suppose that for every $a, b, c, d \in A$ there is an idempotent p such that

$$p(a, b, b) = a \text{ and}$$

$$p(d, d, c) = c.$$

Call p a local Mal'cev operation on a, b, c, d .

Suppose that for every $a, b, c, d \in A$ there is an idempotent p such that

$$p(a, b, b) = a \text{ and}$$

$$p(d, d, c) = c.$$

Call p a local Mal'cev operation on a, b, c, d .

Pick $e, f \in A$ and let q be a local Mal'cev operation on $a, b, e, p(f, f, e)$ and let q' be a local Mal'cev operation on $e, p(e, f, f), c, d$.

Suppose that for every $a, b, c, d \in A$ there is an idempotent p such that

$$p(a, b, b) = a \text{ and}$$

$$p(d, d, c) = c.$$

Call p a local Mal'cev operation on a, b, c, d .

Pick $e, f \in A$ and let q be a local Mal'cev operation on $a, b, e, p(f, f, e)$ and let q' be a local Mal'cev operation on $e, p(e, f, f), c, d$.

$$p'(x, y, z) := q(p(x, y, z), p(y, y, z), z)$$

$$p''(x, y, z) := q'(x, p(x, y, y), p(x, y, z))$$

Suppose that for every $a, b, c, d \in A$ there is an idempotent p such that

$$p(a, b, b) = a \text{ and}$$

$$p(d, d, c) = c.$$

Call p a local Mal'cev operation on a, b, c, d .

Pick $e, f \in A$ and let q be a local Mal'cev operation on $a, b, e, p(f, f, e)$ and let q' be a local Mal'cev operation on $e, p(e, f, f), c, d$.

$$p'(x, y, z) := q(p(x, y, z), p(y, y, z), z)$$

$$p''(x, y, z) := q'(x, p(x, y, y), p(x, y, z))$$

Then p' is a local Mal'cev operation on a, b, c, d and on a, b, e, f and p'' is a local Mal'cev operation on a, b, c, d and on e, f, c, d .

Suppose that for every $a, b, c, d \in A$ there is an idempotent p such that

$$p(a, b, b) = a \text{ and}$$

$$p(d, d, c) = c.$$

Call p a local Mal'cev operation on a, b, c, d .

Pick $e, f \in A$ and let q be a local Mal'cev operation on $a, b, e, p(f, f, e)$ and let q' be a local Mal'cev operation on $e, p(e, f, f), c, d$.

$$p'(x, y, z) := q(p(x, y, z), p(y, y, z), z)$$

$$p''(x, y, z) := q'(x, p(x, y, y), p(x, y, z))$$

Then p' is a local Mal'cev operation on a, b, c, d and on a, b, e, f and p'' is a local Mal'cev operation on a, b, c, d and on e, f, c, d .

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite idempotent algebra. Then \mathbf{A} supports a Mal'cev term operation if and only if for every $0, 1, 2, 3 \in A$ it is the case that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sg}_{\mathbf{A}}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}\right\}.$$

based on Berman, Idziak, Marcovič, McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard
2010

Let Γ be a set of columns of x 's and y 's (of height n) and let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra. If

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \vdots \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sg}_{\mathbf{F}_{V(\mathbf{A})}\{x,y\}} \Gamma$$

then call a term which witnesses this fact a Γ -special cube term.

based on Berman, Idziak, Marcovič, McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard
2010

Let Γ be a set of columns of x 's and y 's (of height n) and let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra. If

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \vdots \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sg}_{\mathbf{F}_{V(\mathbf{A})}\{x,y\}} \Gamma$$

then call a term which witnesses this fact a Γ -special cube term.

So a majority term is a $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ -special cube term,

and a Mal'cev term is a $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ -special cube term.

Let Γ be a set of columns of x 's and y 's (of height n), let $a, b \in A$, let $i < n$ and let p be an operation on A whose variables are indexed by Γ . Define $\gamma_i : A^2 \rightarrow A^\Gamma$ to be the function where

$$\gamma_i(a, b)(C) := \begin{cases} a & \text{if the } i\text{th element of } C \text{ is } x \\ b & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Say that p is a local Γ -special cube operation on (a, b, i) if $p(\gamma_i(a, b)) = a$. Given any subset S of $A^2 \times \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, say that p is a local Γ -special cube operation on S if p is a local Γ -special cube operation on (a, b, i) for every $(a, b, i) \in S$.

Let Γ be a set of columns of x 's and y 's (of height n), let $a, b \in A$, let $i < n$ and let p be an operation on A whose variables are indexed by Γ . Define $\gamma_i : A^2 \rightarrow A^\Gamma$ to be the function where

$$\gamma_i(a, b)(C) := \begin{cases} a & \text{if the } i\text{th element of } C \text{ is } x \\ b & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Say that p is a local Γ -special cube operation on (a, b, i) if $p(\gamma_i(a, b)) = a$. Given any subset S of $A^2 \times \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, say that p is a local Γ -special cube operation on S if p is a local Γ -special cube operation on (a, b, i) for every $(a, b, i) \in S$.

Theorem

Let Γ be an order ideal in the n th power of the semilattice $x < y$ and let \mathbf{A} be a finite idempotent algebra. Then \mathbf{A} supports a Γ -special cube term if and only if there is a local Γ -special cube operation on S for every $S \subseteq A^2 \times \{0, \dots, |\Gamma| - 1\}$ with $|S| = |\Gamma|$.

Fix $\Gamma = (C_0, \dots, C_{n-1})$ and A .

Assume that for some $k \geq n$ A supports local Γ -special cube operations on all sets of size k . It suffices to show for an arbitrary $S \subseteq A^2 \times \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ with $|S| = k+1$, that A supports a local Γ -special cube operation on S .

Choose $(a, b, i) \in S$ with $|S \cap (A^2 \times \{i\})| > 1$ and define

$$T := S \setminus \{(a, b, i)\}$$

$$R := S \setminus (A^2 \times \{i\}) \cup \{(a, p_T(\gamma_i(a, b)), i)\}$$

where p_T is the local Γ -special cube operation on T . For each $j < n$ define

$$z_j(\bar{x}) := \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } C_j(i) = x \\ p_T(\bar{x}) & \text{if } C_j \text{ has exactly one } y, \text{ at } i \\ p_T(\gamma_i(x_{q_j}, x_j)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where C_{q_j} is the column covered by C_j such that they differ only in position i . Then define

$$p_S(\bar{x}) := p_R(\bar{z}(\bar{x})).$$

Corollary

Given $k \geq 3$, it is checkable in polynomial time whether or not a finite idempotent algebra supports a k -ary near unanimity term.

Given $k \geq 2$, it is checkable in polynomial time whether or not a finite idempotent algebra supports a k -edge term.

Note that McKenzie independantly arrived at similar results to this corollary for near unanimity terms and Mal'cev terms using different methods.

A Pixley term ($p(x, y, x) = p(x, y, y) = p(y, y, x) = x$) can be subjected to a similar construction, but does not have columns which form an order ideal in the required way.

A Pixley term ($p(x, y, x) = p(x, y, y) = p(y, y, x) = x$) can be subjected to a similar construction, but does not have columns which form an order ideal in the required way.

The interesting case: if $i = 0$, define

$$T := S \setminus \{(a, b, 0)\}$$

$$Q := \{(d, c, 1) \mid (c, d, 2) \in T\} \cup \{(d, c, 2) \mid (c, d, 1) \in T\} \cup \{(a, p_T(a, b, a), 0)\}$$

$$R := S \setminus (A^2 \times \{0\}) \text{ and define}$$

$$p_S(x, y, z) = p_R(x, p_Q(x, p_T(x, y, z), z), z)$$

Theorem (Valerioté, 2013)

A similar construction works for congruence n -permutability.

J. Berman, P. Idziak, P. Marković, R. McKenzie, M. Valeriote, R. Willard, Varieties with few subalgebras of powers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362**(3) (2010) 1445-1473.

R. Freese, M. Valeriote, On the complexity of some Maltsev conditions, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **19**(1) (2009) 41-77.

M. Valeriote, Locally finite idempotent congruence n -permutable varieties, Preprint, 2013.